arXiv:0904.2964v3 [math.QA] 13 Jul 2009

QUANTUM $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-ALGEBRAS I: CONSTRUCTIONS OF YB ALGEBRAS AND YB COALGEBRAS

RUN-QIANG JIAN AND MARC ROSSO

Abstract. We show how to construct Yang-Baxter algebras and Yang-Baxter coalgebras from Yetter-Drinfel'd modules with some additional conditions. We introduce quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras which are both generalizations of Yang-Baxter algebras and $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras. They provide another machinery to construct Yang-Baxter algebras. And we also construct quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras from 2-Yang-Baxter algebras. These notions provide a systematic way to construct Hopf algebra structures on the cofree braided coalgebra, in particular quantum quasi-shuffle algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Machineries arising from Yetter-Drinfel'd Modules 3
3. Examples related to quantum shuffle algebras 13
4. Quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras 17
5. Construction of quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras 28
References 32

1. Introduction

For any algebra (resp. coalgebra) A, there is a natural algebra (resp. coalgebra) structure on $A^{\otimes n}$ defined by using the multiplication (resp. comultiplication) and the usual flip. Hashimoto and Hayashi [6] showed that if a Yang-Baxter operator is compatible with the multiplication (resp. comultiplication) in some sense, then one can also provide a new algebra (resp. coalgebra) structure on $A^{\otimes n}$ by using the Yang-Baxter operator instead of the flip. They called the algebra (resp. coalgebra) with the compatible Yang-Baxter operator a Yang-Baxter algebra (resp. Yang-Baxter coalgebra). These algebras and coalgebras play an important role in braided categories. For example, Baez [2] used them to study the Hochschild homology. But there are few examples, and the question is how to provide some. We provide a first series of examples as follows. For any Hopf algebra H, Woronowicz [18] constructed two Yang-Baxter operators T_H and T'_H on H. By direct computation one can

---

Key words and phrases. YB algebra, YB coalgebra, quantum shuffle algebra, quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-Algebra, 2-YB algebra.

show that these give Yang-Baxter algebra and Yang-Baxter coalgebra structures on H. So one may wonder whether there is a general machinery behind this. One may observe that Woronowicz's braidings come from some special Yetter-Drinfel'd module structures on H. Moreover the multiplication and comultiplication of H are compatible with the Yetter-Drinfel'd module structures in some sense. This type of structure provides a systematic way to construct Yang-Baxter algebras and Yang-Baxter coalgebras in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules.

We also observe that quantum shuffle algebras discussed in [16] are certainly interesting such examples. They are the quantization of the usual shuffle algebras on $T(V)$. The multiplication is constructed by replacing the flip by a braiding on V. This leads to consider the following question: what are the possible Yang-Baxter algebra structures on the tensor space $T(V)$ of a braided vector space which are compatible with the natural braiding on $T(V)$.

In [11], Loday and Ronco proved a classification theorem for connected cofree bialgebras with analogues of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem and of the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras. The main tool used is the notion of B_∞-algebra. This enables one to investigate all associative algebra structures on $T(V)$ compatible with the deconcatenation coproduct. The point is that $T(V)$ is a connected coalgebra in the sense of Quillen [14]. So by using the universal property of $T(V)$ with respect to the connected coalgebra structure, the product can be rebuilt from the data of some linear maps $M_{pq} : V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q} \rightarrow V$ for $p, q \geq 0$. Conversely, one can construct associative algebra structure for such given maps under some conditions. Furthermore, with this algebra structure and the deconcatenation coproduct, $T(V)$ becomes a bialgebra. We extend this to the braided framework, where we use the "quantized" coproduct instead of the tensor deconcatenation coproduct of $T(V) \otimes T(V)$. $T(V)$ will become a "twisted" Hopf algebra in the sense of [16]. The underlying associative algebra structure is provided by the quantum B_∞-algebra structure. This new object is not just the generalization of B_∞-algebras, but also of Yang-Baxter algebras.

Works on multiple zeta values led naturally to quasi-shuffle algebras. Mainly, the underlying vector space used to construct the shuffle algebra has also an algebra structure. These algebras were already studied by [12], and there were some attempts to quantize them, for instance, [1] and [7]. The quantum B_∞-algebras provide a good framework to "deform" quasi-shuffle algebras in the spirit of quantum shuffle algebras, where the usual flip is replaced by a braiding and we have to impose compatibility between the braiding and the algebra structure on V. Then Yang-Baxter algebras appear to be the relevant structures.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that an algebra (resp. coalgebra) with compatible Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure is a Yang-Baxter algebra (resp. coalgebra). Specially, modules over a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra make sense. And we use Woronowicz's braidings to illustrate our constructions. Section 3 contains the interesting example of Yang-Baxter algebra, which is the so-called quantum shuffle algebra (introduced in [16]). We also prove that the cotensor algebra $T_H^c(M)$ over a Hopf algebra H and a H-Hopf bimodule M is both a Yang-Baxter algebra and a Yang-Baxter coalgebra. As a consequence, the "upper triangular part" U_q^+ of the quantized enveloping algebra with a symmetrizable Cartan matrix is a Yang-Baxter algebra. In Section 4, we define quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra and prove that its tensor space has a Yang-Baxter algebra structure. Quantum shuffle algebras and quantum quasi-shuffle algebras are special quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras. Finally, in Section 5, we introduce the notion of 2-Yang-Baxter algebras and use them to construct quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank his parents for their love. He would like to thank Professor Xi-Ping Zhu sincerely for his helps. He would also like to thank Yao-Fei Li for sharing the exciting time with him.

Notations

In this paper, we denote by K a ground field of characteristic 0. All the objects we discuss are defined over K.

Let $(H, \triangle, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf algebra. We adopt Sweedler's notations for coalgebras and comodules: for any $h \in H$,

$$\triangle(h) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)},$$

and for a left H-comodule (M, ρ) and any $m \in M$,

$$\rho(m) = \sum_{(m)} m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}.$$

The symmetric group of n letters $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is written by $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

A braiding σ on a vector space V is an invertible linear map in $\mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ satisfying the quantum Yang-Baxter equation on $V^{\otimes 3}$:

$$(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma)(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma).$$

A braided vector space (V, σ) is a vector space V equipped with a braiding σ. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we denote by σ_i the operator $\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes n})$. For any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we denote by T_w the corresponding lift of w in the braid group B_n, defined as follows: if $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ is any reduced expression of w, where $s_i = (i, i+1)$, then $T_w = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_l}$. Sometimes we also use T_w^σ to indicate the action of σ.

The usual flip switching two factors is denoted by τ. For a vector space V, we denote by $\otimes$ the tensor product within $T(V)$, and by $\underline{\otimes}$ the one between $T(V)$ and $T(V)$ respectively.

2. Machineries arising from Yetter-Drinfel'd Modules

We will first recall the definitions of Yang-Baxter algebra and Yang-Baxter coalgebra which were introduced in [6].

Definition 2.1. *1. Let $A = (A, m, \eta)$ be an algebra with product m and unit η. Let σ be a braiding on A. We call (A, σ) a* Yang-Baxter algebra*, or short for* YB algebra, *if the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^{\otimes 3} \arrow[r, "\sigma_1\sigma_2"] \arrow[d, "m\otimes \mathrm{id}_A"] & A^{\otimes 3} \arrow[r, "\sigma_2\sigma_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_A\otimes m"] & A^{\otimes 3} \arrow[d, "m\otimes \mathrm{id}_A"] \\
A^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & A^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & A^{\otimes 2} \\
K\otimes A \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[u, "\eta\otimes \mathrm{id}_A"] & A\otimes K \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}_A\otimes \eta"] & K\otimes A. \arrow[u, "\eta\otimes \mathrm{id}_A"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

2. Let $C=(C,\triangle,\varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra with coproduct $\triangle$ and counit ε. Let σ be a braiding on C. We call (C,σ) a Yang-Baxter coalgebra, *or short for* YB coalgebra, *if the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{\otimes 3} \arrow[r, "\sigma_1\sigma_2"] & C^{\otimes 3} \arrow[r, "\sigma_2\sigma_1"] & C^{\otimes 3} \\
C^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[u, "\triangle\otimes \mathrm{id}_C"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\otimes \mathrm{id}_C"] & C^{\otimes 2} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}_C\otimes \triangle"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_C\otimes \varepsilon"] & C^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, "\triangle\otimes \mathrm{id}_C"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\otimes \mathrm{id}_C"] \\
K\otimes C \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & C\otimes K \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & K\otimes C.
\end{tikzcd}$$

These definitions give a right way to extend the usual algebra (resp. coalgebra) structure on the tensor products of algebras (resp. coalgebras).

Proposition 2.2 ([6], Proposition 4.2). *1. For a YB algebra (A,σ) and any $i\in\mathbb{N}$, the braided vector space $(A^{\otimes i},T^{\sigma}_{\chi_{ii}})$ becomes a YB algebra with product $m_{\sigma,i}=m^{\otimes i}\circ T^{\sigma}_{w_i}$ and unit $\eta^{\otimes i}:K\simeq K^{\otimes i}\rightarrow A^{\otimes i}$, where $\chi_{ii},w_i\in\mathfrak{S}_{2i}$ are given by*

$$\chi_{ii}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & 2i \\ i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & 2i & 1 & 2 & \cdots & i \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$w_i=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & 2i \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 2i-1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. For a YB coalgebra (C,σ), the braided vector space $(C^{\otimes i},T^{\sigma}_{\chi_{ii}})$ becomes a YB coalgebra with coproduct $\triangle_{\sigma,i}=T^{\sigma}_{w_i^{-1}}\circ\triangle^{\otimes i}$ and counit $\varepsilon^{\otimes i}:C^{\otimes i}\rightarrow K^{\otimes i}\simeq K$.

Remark 2.3. *1. Any algebra (resp. coalgebra) is a YB algebra (resp. coalgebra) with the usual flip.*

2. Obviously, if (A,σ) is a YB algebra, then so is (A,σ^{-1}). And if (C,σ) is a YB coalgebra, then so is (C,σ^{-1}).

3. Assume there is a nondegenerate bilinear form $(,)$ between two vector spaces A and B. It can be extended to $(,):A^{\otimes i}\times B^{\otimes i}\rightarrow K$ in the usual way. For any $f\in\mathrm{End}(A^{\otimes i})$, the adjoint operator $adj(f)\in\mathrm{End}(B^{\otimes i})$ of f is defined to be the one such that $(x,adj(f)(y))=(f(x),y)$ for any $x\in A^{\otimes i}$ and $y\in B^{\otimes i}$. If (A,m,η,σ) is a YB algebra, then its adjoint $(B,\mathrm{adj}(m),\mathrm{adj}(\eta),\mathrm{adj}(\sigma))$ is a YB coalgebra.

The YB algebra and YB coalgebra structures given by Remark 2.3.1 are trivial. We will give non trivial examples by using braided vector spaces as follows.

Let (V,σ) be a braided vector space. For any $i,j\geq 1$, we denote

$$\chi_{ij}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & i+j \\ j+1 & j+2 & \cdots & j+i & 1 & 2 & \cdots & j \end{pmatrix},$$

and define $\beta : T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V)\to T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V)$ by requiring that $\beta_{ij}=T^{\sigma}_{\chi_{ij}}$ on $V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j}$. For convenience, we denote by β_{0i} and β_{i0} the usual flip map.

It is easy to see that β is a braiding on $T(V)$ and $(T(V),m,\beta)$ is a YB algebra, where m is the concatenation product. And $(T(V),m,\beta)$ has a sort of universal property in the category of YB algebras.

Definition 2.4. *Let (A_1,α_1) and (A_2,α_2) be two YB algebras. A linear map $f : A_1\to A_2$ is called a* morphism of YB algebras *if*

1. *f is an algebra map, i.e., $f(ab)=f(a)f(b)$ for any $a,b\in A_1$,*
2. *$(f\otimes f)\alpha_1=\alpha_2(f\otimes f)$, i.e., f is a morphism of braided vector spaces.*

Definition 2.5. *Let (V,σ) be braided vector space. A* free YB algebra *over V is a YB algebra $(F_{\mathcal{YB}}(V),\overline{\sigma})$ with the following universal property:*

1. *there is an injective map $i : V\to F_{\mathcal{YB}}(V)$ such that $(i\otimes i)\sigma=\overline{\sigma}(i\otimes i)$,*
2. *for any YB algebra (A,α) and linear map $\varphi : V\to A$ such that $(\varphi\otimes\varphi)\sigma=\alpha(\varphi\otimes\varphi)$, there is a unique morphism of YB algebras $\overline{\varphi} : F_{\mathcal{YB}}(V)\to A$ such that $\overline{\varphi}\circ i=\varphi$.*

Proposition 2.6. *The free YB algebra exists and it is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : V\to A$ be a linear map such that $(\varphi\otimes\varphi)\sigma=\alpha(\varphi\otimes\varphi)$. By the universal property of tensor algebras, there is a unique algebra map $\overline{\varphi} : T(V)\to A$ which extends φ. Let $i : V\to T(V)$ be the inclusion map. Consider the YB algebra $(T(V),m,\beta)$ where m is the concatenation product. Then $(T(V),i,\beta)$ is a free YB algebra over V. We only need to check that $(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})\beta_{kl}=\alpha(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})$ on $V^{\otimes k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes l}$. We use induction on $k+l$ for $k,l\geq 1$.

When $i=j=1$, $(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})\beta_{11}=(\varphi\otimes\varphi)\sigma=\alpha(\varphi\otimes\varphi)=\alpha(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_k\underline{\otimes}v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_l)\\
&= \alpha\Big(\varphi(u_1)\cdots\varphi(u_k)\underline{\otimes}\varphi(v_1)\cdots\varphi(v_l)\Big)\\
&= \alpha(\mathrm{id}_A\otimes m_A)\Big(\varphi(u_1)\cdots\varphi(u_k)\underline{\otimes}\varphi(v_1)\cdots\varphi(v_{l-1})\underline{\otimes}\varphi(v_l)\Big)\\
&= (m_A\otimes\mathrm{id}_A)\alpha_2\alpha_1\Big(\varphi(u_1)\cdots\varphi(u_k)\underline{\otimes}\varphi(v_1)\cdots\varphi(v_{l-1})\underline{\otimes}\varphi(v_l)\Big)\\
&= (m_A\otimes\mathrm{id}_A)\alpha_2\Big((\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})\beta_{k,l-1}\otimes\overline{\varphi}\Big)(u_1\otimes\cdots v_l)\\
&= (m_A\otimes\mathrm{id}_A)\big(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\alpha(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})\big)(\beta_{k,l-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V)(u_1\otimes\cdots v_l)\\
&= (m_A\otimes\mathrm{id}_A)(\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l-1}\otimes\beta_{k1})(\beta_{k,l-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V)(u_1\otimes\cdots v_l)\\
&= (\overline{\varphi}\otimes\overline{\varphi})\beta_{kl}(u_1\otimes\cdots v_l).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following property about YB algebras is useful for the further discussion.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(V,*,\sigma)$ be a YB algebra. Then for any $k,l\geq 1$, we have*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\beta_{1l}(*^k\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *^k)\beta_{k+1,l},\\ \beta_{l1}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *^k) & = & (*^k\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\beta_{l,k+1},\end{array}\right.$$

where $*^k = *^{\otimes k}: V^{\otimes k+1}\rightarrow V$ is defined by $v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{k+1}\mapsto v_1 * \cdots * v_{k+1}$.

Proof. We use induction on $k+l$. We prove the first equality. The second one can be proved similarly.

When $k=l=1$, it is just the condition of YB algebra.

$$\begin{array}{lcl}\beta_{1l}(*^k\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) & = & \beta_{1l}(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *^{k-1}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *)\beta_{2l}(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *^{k-1}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *)(\beta_{1l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\beta_{1l})(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *^{k-1}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *)(\beta_{1l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l+1}\otimes *^{k-1})(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\beta_{kl})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *)(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l+1}\otimes *^{k-1})(\beta_{1l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\beta_{kl})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes *^k)\beta_{k+1,l}.\end{array}$$

□

We define δ to be the deconcatenation on $T(V)$, i.e.,

$$\delta(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i)\underline{\otimes}(v_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n).$$

We denoted by $T^c(V)$ the coalgebra $(T(V),\delta)$.

$T^c(V)$ is the dual construction of $(T(V),m)$. So $(T^c(V),\beta)$ is a YB coalgebra. It is valuable to present the following short proof of this statement, from which we can see how it works more clearly.

Since

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\chi_{i+j,k} & = & (\chi_{ik}\times 1_{\mathfrak{S}_j})(1_{\mathfrak{S}_i}\times\chi_{jk}),\\ \chi_{i+j,k} & = & (1_{\mathfrak{S}_j}\times\chi_{ik})(\chi_{ij}\times 1_{\mathfrak{S}_k}),\end{array}\right.$$

and all the expressions are reduced, we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}\beta_{i+j,k} & = & (\beta_{ik}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\otimes\beta_{jk}),\\ \beta_{i+j,k} & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j}\otimes\beta_{ik})(\beta_{ij}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}).\end{array}\right.$$

On $V^{\otimes k}$, we have $\delta=\oplus_{i+j=k}\delta_{ij}$ with $\delta_{ij}(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{i+j})=v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i\underline{\otimes}v_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{i+j}$. We identify $\delta_{ij}=\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j}$. So on $V^{\otimes i+j}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes k}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl}(\beta_{ik}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\otimes\beta_{jk})(\delta_{ij}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) & = & \beta_{i+j,k}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k})\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j})\beta_{i+j,k}\\ & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes\delta_{ij})\beta_{i+j,k}.\end{array}$$

The other compatibility condition for δ and β can be proved similarly, and other conditions for the YB coalgebra follow from the definitions of ε and β.

Before giving general constructions of YB algebras and YB coalgebras, we recall some terminologies first.

Definition 2.8. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. A triple $(V, \cdot, \rho)$ is called a* (left) Yetter-Drinfel'd module *over H if*

1. *$(V, \cdot)$ is a left H-module,*
2. *(V, ρ) is a left H-comodule,*
3. *for any $h \in H$ and $v \in V$,*

$$\sum h_{(1)} v_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot v_{(0)} = \sum (h_{(1)} \cdot v)_{(-1)} h_{(2)} \otimes (h_{(1)} \cdot v)_{(0)}.$$

For any Yetter-Drinfel'd module V, there is a natural braiding $\sigma_V : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ defined by $\sigma_V(v \otimes w) = \sum v_{(-1)} \cdot w \otimes v_{(0)}$. In the following, we will always adopt this σ_V as the braiding of a Yetter-Drinfel'd module.

Definition 2.9. *Let $(H, \triangle, \varepsilon)$ be a Hopf algebra. An algebra A is called a* module-algebra *over H if*

1. *A is an H-module, and*
2. *for any $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$,*

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (ab) &= \sum_{(h)} (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot b), \\ h \cdot 1 &= \varepsilon(h) 1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. An algebra A is called a* comodule-algebra *over H if*

1. *A is an H-comodule with structure map $\rho : A \to H \otimes A$,*
2. *ρ is an algebra morphism, i.e., for any $a, b \in A$,*

$$\sum_{(ab)} (ab)_{(-1)} \otimes (ab)_{(0)} = \sum_{(a),(b)} a_{(-1)} b_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)} b_{(0)},$$

$$\rho(1_A) = 1_H \otimes 1_A.$$

Theorem 2.11. *Let $(V, \cdot, \rho)$ be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H. If V is both a comodule-algebra and module-algebra, then (V, σ_V) is a YB algebra.*

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes m)(\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(x \otimes y \otimes z) \\ = \quad & (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes m)(\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\sum_{(y)} x \otimes y_{(-1)} \cdot z \otimes y_{(0)}) \\ = \quad & (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes m)(\sum_{(x),(y)} x_{(-1)} \cdot (y_{(-1)} \cdot z) \otimes x_{(0)} \otimes y_{(0)}) \\ = \quad & \sum_{(x),(y)} (x_{(-1)} y_{(-1)}) \cdot z \otimes x_{(0)} y_{(0)} \\ = \quad & \sum_{(xy)} (xy)_{(-1)} \cdot z \otimes (xy)_{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sigma_V(m\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(x\otimes y\otimes z).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(m\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \sigma_V)(\sigma_V\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(x\otimes y\otimes z)\\
&= (m\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \sigma_V)(\sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)}\cdot y\otimes x_{(0)}\otimes z)\\
&= (m\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\sum_{(x)} x_{(-2)}\cdot y\otimes x_{(-1)}\cdot z\otimes x_{(0)}\\
&= \sum_{(x)}(x_{(-2)}\cdot y)(x_{(-1)}\cdot z)\otimes x_{(0)}\\
&= \sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)}\cdot (yz)\otimes x_{(0)}\\
&= \sigma_V(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes m)(x\otimes y\otimes z).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_V(x\otimes 1_V) &= \sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)}\cdot 1_V\otimes x_{(0)}\\
&= \sum_{(x)} \varepsilon(x_{(-1)})1_M\otimes x_{(0)}\\
&= 1_V\otimes x,
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_V(1_V\otimes x) &= 1_H\cdot x\otimes 1_V\\
&= x\otimes 1_V.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.12. *Under the above assumption, $V^{\otimes 2}$ is an associative algebra with the product: for any $w,x,y,z\in V$,*

$$(w\otimes x)(y\otimes z) = \sum_{(x)} w(x_{(-1)}\cdot y)\otimes x_{(0)}z.$$

Proof. It follows from the above theorem and Proposition 2.2. □

There is a dual description of coalgebras. We have a correspondence between algebras and coalgebras, modules and comodules, etc. So the dual notions of module-algebras and comodule-algebras are the following definitions.

Definition 2.13. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. A coalgebra $(C,\triangle)$ is called a* module-coalgebra *over H if*

1. *C is an H-module,*
2. *for any $h\in H$ $c\in C$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle(h\cdot c) &= \sum_{(c),(h)} (h_{(1)}\cdot c_{(1)})\otimes (h_{(2)}\cdot c_{(2)}),\\
\varepsilon(h\cdot c) &= \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_C(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.14. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. A coalgebra $(C, \triangle)$ is called a* comodule-coalgebra *over H if*

1. C is an H-comodule with structure map $\rho : C \to H \otimes C$, and we denote for any $c \in C$, $\rho(c) = \sum_{(c)} c_{(-1)} \otimes c_{(0)}$,

2. for any $c \in C$, we denote $\triangle(c) = \sum_{(c)} c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}$. And we have

$$\sum_{(c)} c_{(-1)} \otimes (c_{(0)})^{(1)} \otimes (c_{(0)})^{(2)} = \sum_{(c)} (c^{(1)})_{(-1)}(c^{(2)})_{(-1)} \otimes (c^{(1)})_{(0)} \otimes (c^{(2)})_{(0)},$$

$$\sum_{(c)} c_{(-1)}\varepsilon(c_{(0)}) = \varepsilon(c)1_H.$$

Theorem 2.15. *Let $(V, \cdot, \rho)$ be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H. If V is both a comodule-coalgebra and module-coalgebra, then (V, σ_V) is a YB coalgebra.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(\triangle \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(x \otimes y) \\
= \;& (\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(\sum_{(x)} x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)} \otimes y) \\
= \;& (\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\sum_{(x)} x^{(1)} \otimes (x^{(2)})_{(-1)} \cdot y \otimes (x^{(2)})_{(0)}) \\
= \;& \sum_{(x)} (x^{(1)})_{(-1)} \cdot ((x^{(2)})_{(-1)} \cdot y) \otimes (x^{(1)})_{(0)} \otimes (x^{(2)})_{(0)} \\
= \;& \sum_{(x)} ((x^{(1)})_{(-1)}(x^{(2)})_{(-1)}) \cdot y \otimes (x^{(1)})_{(0)} \otimes (x^{(2)})_{(0)} \\
= \;& \sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)} \cdot y \otimes (x_{(0)})^{(1)} \otimes (x_{(0)})^{(2)} \\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \triangle)\sigma_V(x \otimes y),
\end{aligned}$$

where the fifth equality follows from the Condition 2 in the definition of comodule-coalgebra.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \triangle)(x \otimes y) \\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(\sigma_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\sum_{(y)} x \otimes y^{(1)} \otimes y^{(2)}) \\
= \;& (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma_V)(\sum_{(x),(y)} x_{(-1)} \cdot y^{(1)} \otimes x_{(0)} \otimes y^{(2)}) \\
= \;& \sum_{(x),(y)} x_{(-2)} \cdot y^{(1)} \otimes x_{(-1)} \cdot y^{(2)} \otimes x_{(0)} \\
= \;& \sum_{(x),(y)} (x_{(-1)} \cdot y)^{(1)} \otimes (x_{(-1)} \cdot y)^{(2)} \otimes x_{(0)} \\
= \;& (\triangle \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma(x \otimes y).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varepsilon_V)\sigma_V(x\otimes y) &= \sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)}\cdot y\otimes\varepsilon_V(x_{(0)})\\ &= \sum_{(x)} x_{(-1)}\varepsilon_V(x_{(0)})\cdot y\\ &= \varepsilon(x)1_H\cdot y\\ &= \varepsilon(x)y,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon_V\otimes\mathrm{id})\sigma_V(x\otimes y) &= \sum_{(x)} \varepsilon_V(x_{(-1)}\cdot y)\otimes x_{(0)}\\ &= \sum_{(x)} \varepsilon(x_{(-1)})\varepsilon_V(y)\otimes x_{(0)}\\ &= \varepsilon(y)x.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.16. *Under the above assumption, $V^{\otimes 2}$ is a coalgebra with the coproduct: for any $x,y\in V$,*

$$\triangle(x\otimes y)=\sum_{(x),(y)} x^{(1)}\otimes (x^{(2)})_{(-1)}\cdot y^{(1)}\otimes (x^{(2)})_{(0)}\otimes y^{(2)}.$$

Proof. It follows from the above theorem and Proposition 2.2. □

Examples (Woronowicz's braidings).

For any Hopf algebra $(H,m,\eta,\triangle,\varepsilon,S)$, Woronowicz [18] constructed two braidings on H: for any $a,b\in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}T_H(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(b)} b_{(2)}\otimes aS(b_{(1)})b_{(3)},\\ T'_H(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(b)} b_{(1)}\otimes S(b_{(2)})ab_{(3)}.\end{aligned}$$

They are invertible with inverses respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}T_H^{-1}(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(a)} bS^{-1}(a_{(3)})a_{(1)}\otimes a_{(2)},\\ (T'_H)^{-1}(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(3)}bS^{-1}(a_{(2)})\otimes a_{(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

We consider $H^{op}=(H,m\circ\tau,\eta,\triangle,\varepsilon,S^{-1})$ and $H^{cop}=(H,m,\eta,\tau\circ\triangle,\varepsilon,S^{-1})$. Denote $F_H=T^{-1}_{H^{op}}$ and $F'_H=(T'_{H^{cop}})^{-1}$. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}F_H(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}S(a_{(3)})b\otimes a_{(2)},\\ F'_H(a\otimes b) &= \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}bS(a_{(2)})\otimes a_{(3)}.\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that H is a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over itself with the following structures: for any $x, h \in H$,

$$\begin{cases} x \cdot h &= \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} h S(x_{(2)}), \\ \rho(h) &= \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that H is a module-algebra and comodule-algebra with these structures. The action of σ_H is $\sigma_H(x \otimes y) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} y S(x_{(2)}) \otimes x_{(3)}$. It is just the braiding F'. So by Theorem 2.11, (H, F') is a YB algebra.

H has also the following Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure: for any $x, h \in H$,

$$\begin{cases} x \cdot h &= xh, \\ \rho(h) &= \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that H is a module-coalgebra and comodule-coalgebra with these structures. The action of σ_H is $\sigma_H(x \otimes y) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} S(x_{(3)}) y \otimes x_{(3)}$. It is just the braiding F. Then by Theorem 2.15, (H, F) is a YB coalgebra.

The product and coproduct introduced in Corollary 2.12 and 2.16 are the generalizations of the smash product and smash coproduct respectively. This is related to some work of Lambe and Radford ([10], p. 115-p. 119) who gave slightly a more general result, but without considering YB algebras. Let V and W be Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. They proved that:

1. If V and W are both module-algebras and comodule-algebras. Then $V \otimes W$ has an associative algebra structure given by: for any $v, v' \in V$ and $w, w' \in W$,

$$(v \otimes w) \star (v' \otimes w') = \sum v(w_{(-1)} \cdot v') \otimes w_{(0)} w'.$$

2. If V and W are both module-coalgebras and comodule-coalgebras. Then $V \otimes W$ has an coassociative coalgebra structure given by: for any $v, v' \in V$,

$$\triangle(v \otimes w) = \sum_{(v),(w)} v^{(1)} \otimes (v^{(2)})_{(-1)} \cdot w^{(1)} \otimes (v^{(2)})_{(0)} \otimes w^{(2)}.$$

Notice that the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules is a braided monoidal category (for the definition, one can see [9]). So $V \otimes W$ is again a Yetter-Drinfel'd module with the usual tensor product module and comodule structures. The natural braiding of $V \otimes W$ is just $\Sigma = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \theta' \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)(\sigma_V \otimes \sigma_W)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \theta \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)$, where θ and θ' are given by, for any $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, $\theta(v \otimes w) = \sum v_{(-1)} \cdot w \otimes v_{(0)}$ and $\theta'(w \otimes v) = \sum w_{(-1)} \cdot v \otimes w_{(0)}$ respectively. If V and W are both module-algebras (resp. coalgebras) and comodule-algebras (resp. coalgebras), then so does $V \otimes W$ (cf. [10]). Therefore we have immediately that:

Proposition 2.17. *Let V and W be Yetter-Drinfel'd modules.*

1 If V and W are both module-algebras and comodule-algebras. Then $(V \otimes W, \Sigma)$ is a YB algebra with the product introduced above.

1 If V and W are both module-coalgebras and comodule-coalgebras. Then $(V \otimes W, \Sigma)$ is a YB coalgebra with the coproduct introduced above.

In the following, we will focus on some special cases of the machinery introduced above.

Definition 2.18. *A Hopf algebra H is said to be* quasi-triangular *if there exists an invertible element $\mathcal{R} \in H \otimes H$ such that for all $x \in H$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) & \mathcal{R} \triangle(x)\mathcal{R}^{-1} &= \triangle^{op}(x), \\ &(2) & (\triangle \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23}, \\ &(3) & (\mathrm{id} \otimes \triangle)(\mathcal{R}) &= \mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\triangle^{op} = \tau \circ \triangle$, $\mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{R} \otimes 1$, $\mathcal{R}_{23} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{13} = (\tau \otimes \mathrm{id})(1 \otimes \mathcal{R})$.

Let $(H, \mathcal{R})$ be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra with $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i s_i \otimes t_i \in H \otimes H$. We have that $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}) = 1 = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\mathcal{R})$. For any H-module M, we define $\rho : M \to H \otimes M$ by $\rho(m) = \sum_i t_i \otimes s_i \cdot m$. Then $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H and the braiding σ_M is just the action of the R-matrix of H (e.g., see [3]).

Theorem 2.19. *Let $(H, \mathcal{R})$ be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and (A, m) be a module-algebra over H. Then (A, σ_A) is a YB algebra.*

Proof. We only need to check that A is also a comodule-algebra. We denote $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i s_i \otimes t_i$. Then from equation (2) we have

$$\sum_i \triangle(s_i) \otimes t_i = \sum_{k,l} s_k \otimes s_l \otimes t_k t_l.$$

Hence

$$\sum_i \sum_{(s_i)} t_i \otimes (s_i)_{(1)} \otimes (s_i)_{(2)} = \sum_{k,l} t_k t_l \otimes s_k \otimes s_l.$$

For any $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(ab)} (ab)_{(-1)} \otimes (ab)_{(0)} &= \sum_i t_i \otimes s_i \cdot (ab) \\ &= \sum_{i,(s_i)} t_i \otimes ((s_i)_{(1)} \cdot a)((s_i)_{(2)} \cdot b) \\ &= \sum_{k,l} t_k t_l \otimes (s_k \cdot a)(s_l \cdot b) \\ &= \sum_{(a),(b)} a_{(-1)} b_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)} b_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1_A) &= \sum_i t_i \otimes s_i \cdot 1_A \\ &= \sum_i \varepsilon(s_i) t_i \otimes 1_A \\ &= 1_H \otimes 1_A. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.20. *Let $(H, \mathcal{R})$ be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and $(C, \triangle)$ be a module-coalgebra over H. Then (C, σ_C) is a YB coalgebra.*

Proof. We only need to check that C is also a comodule-coalgebra. For any $c \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(c)} c_{(-1)} \otimes (c_{(0)})^{(1)} \otimes (c_{(0)})^{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{i,(s_i\cdot c)} t_i \otimes (s_i \cdot c)_{(1)} \otimes (s_i \cdot c)_{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{i,(s_i),(c)} t_i \otimes (s_i)_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes (s_i)_{(2)} \cdot c_{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{k,l,(c)} t_k t_l \otimes s_k \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes s_l \cdot c_{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{(c)} (c^{(1)})_{(-1)} (c^{(2)})_{(-1)} \otimes (c^{(1)})_{(0)} \otimes (c^{(2)})_{(0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(c)} c_{(-1)} \varepsilon(c_{(0)}) &= \sum_i t_i \varepsilon(s_i \cdot c) \\
&= \sum_i t_i \varepsilon_H(s_i) \varepsilon_C(c) \\
&= \varepsilon_C(c) 1_H.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Examples related to quantum shuffle algebras

For a Yetter-Drinfel'd module V which is both a module-algebra and a comodule-algebra, $V^{\otimes i}$ is a YB algebra by Proposition 2.2.1. One can have an interesting YB algebra structure on $T(V)$ as follows, which will be generalized for any braided vector space later.

We first review some terminologies. An $(i_1, \ldots, i_l)$-shuffle is an element $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{i_1+\cdots+i_l}$ such that $w(1) < \cdots < w(i_1), w(i_1+1) < \cdots < w(i_1+i_2), \ldots, w(i_1+\cdots+i_{l-1}+1) < \cdots < w(i_1+\cdots+i_l)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{i_1,\ldots,i_l}$ the set of all $(i_1, \ldots, i_l)$-shuffles.

Let V be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over a Hopf algebra H with the natural braiding σ. In [16], the following associative product on $T(V)$ was constructed (in fact, the construction works for any braided vector space): for any $x_1, \ldots, x_{i+j} \in V$,

$$(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) ш_\sigma (x_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i+j}) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}} T_w (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i+j}). \tag{4}$$

$T(V)$ equipped with $ш_\sigma$ is called the *quantum shuffle algebra* and denoted by $T_\sigma(V)$. Moreover, the Yetter-Drinfel'd module $T_\sigma(V)$ is a module-algebra and a comodule-algebra with the diagonal action and coaction respectively (cf. [16], Proposition 9). So $T_\sigma(V)$ is a YB algebra. In fact, the result holds for any braided vector space.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (V, σ) be a braided vector space. Then $(T_\sigma(V), \beta)$ is a YB algebra. The subalgebra $S_\sigma(V)$ of $T_\sigma(V)$ generated by V is also a YB algebra with braiding β.*

Proof. For any triple (i, j, k) of positive integers and any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}$, we have that

$$(1_{\mathfrak{S}_k} \times w)(\chi_{ik} \times 1_{\mathfrak{S}_j})(1_{\mathfrak{S}_i} \times \chi_{jk}) = \chi_{i+j,k}(w \times 1_{\mathfrak{S}_k}).$$

And all the expressions are reduced. This gives us that

$$(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k} \otimes \text{ш}_\sigma)(\beta_{ik} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes j})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i} \otimes \beta_{jk}) = \beta_{i+j,k}(\text{ш}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}).$$

The other conditions can be proved similarly. Hence $(T_\sigma(V), \beta)$ is a YB algebra.

From the definition, $S_\sigma(V) = \oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathrm{Im}(\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_i} T_w^\sigma)$. By observing that $\chi_{ij}(w \times w') = (w' \times w)\chi_{ij}$ for any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_i$ and $w' \in \mathfrak{S}_j$ and all the expressions are reduced, we see β is a braiding on $S_\sigma(V)$. Certainly it is a YB algebra since it is a subalgebra of $T_\sigma(V)$. □

Remark 3.2. *By using the dual construction, we know $(T(V), \beta)$ is a YB coalgebra with the following coproduct $\triangle$: for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$, the component of $\triangle(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)$ in $V^{\otimes p}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes n-p}$ is*

$$\triangle(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_{p,n-p}} T_{w^{-1}}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n).$$

Example (Quantum exterior algebras). Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. Take a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a braiding σ on V by

$$\sigma(e_i \otimes e_j) = \begin{cases} e_i \otimes e_i, & i = j, \\ q^{-1}e_j \otimes e_i, & i < j, \\ q^{-1}e_j \otimes e_i + (1 - q^{-2})e_i \otimes e_j, & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then σ satisfies the Iwahori's quadratic equation $(\sigma - \mathrm{id}_{V\otimes V})(\sigma + q^{-2}\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes V}) = 0$. In fact, this σ is given by the action of the R-matrix on the fundamental representation of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_N$. By a result of Gurevich (cf. [5], Proposition 2.13), we know that $T(V)/I \cong \oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathrm{Im}(\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_i}(-1)^{l(w)}T_w)$ as algebras, where $l(w)$ is the length of w and I is the ideal of $T(V)$ generated by $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{id}_{V^{\otimes 2}} - \sigma)$. So by easy computation, we get that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{id}_{V\otimes V} - \sigma) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_i \otimes e_i, q^{-1}e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i (i < j)\}$. We denote by $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_s}$ the image of $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}$ in $S_\sigma(V)$. So $S_\sigma(V)$ is an algebra generated by (e_i) with the relations $e_i^2 = 0$ and $e_j \wedge e_i = -q^{-1}e_i \wedge e_j$ if $i < j$. This $S_\sigma(V)$ is called the *quantum exterior algebra* over V. It is a finite dimensional YB algebra with the braiding β.

The quantum exterior algebra has another YB algebra structure as follows. We denote the increasing set $(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ by $\underline{i}$ and so on. For $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq N$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_t \leq N$, we denote

$$(i_1, \cdots, i_s | j_1, \cdots, j_t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{, if } \underline{i} \cap \underline{j} \neq \emptyset, \\ 2\sharp\{(i_k, j_l) | i_k > j_l\} - st, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the above notation, it is easy to see that

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_s} \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_t} = (-q)^{-(i_1,\cdots,i_s|j_1,\cdots,j_t)} e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_t} \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_s}.$$

Definition 3.3. *The* q-flip $\mathscr{T} = \bigoplus_{s,t} \mathscr{T}_{s,t}$: $S_\sigma(V) \otimes S_\sigma(V) \to S_\sigma(V) \otimes S_\sigma(V)$ *is defined by: for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq N$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_t \leq N$,*

$$\mathscr{T}_{s,t}(e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_s} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_t}) = (-q)^{(i_1,\cdots,i_s|j_1,\cdots,j_t)} e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_t} \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_s}.$$

Obviously, $\mathscr{T}$ is a braiding. And $\mathscr{T}$ induces a representation of the symmetric group, i.e., $\mathscr{T}^2=\mathrm{id}$. Indeed, for $1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_s\leq N+1$ and $1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_t\leq N+1$, the result is trivial if $\underline{i}\cap\underline{j}\neq\emptyset$. Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}&(i_1,\cdots,i_s|j_1,\cdots,j_t)+(j_1,\cdots,j_t|i_1,\cdots,i_s)\\ =\;& 2\sharp\{(i_k,j_l)|i_k>j_l\}-st+2\sharp\{(j_u,i_v)|j_u>i_v\}-st\\ =\;& 2st-2st\\ =\;& 0.\end{aligned}$$

For any sequence $I=(i_1,\ldots,i_n)$, we denote by $l(I)$ the number of pairs (i_a,i_b) such that $a<b$ but $i_a>i_b$, and $e_I=e_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{i_n}$. $(S_\sigma(V),\wedge,\mathscr{T})$ is a YB algebra and $(S_\sigma(V),\delta,\mathscr{T})$ is a YB coalgebra. Given any increasing multi-indices I, J and K. If $J\cap K\neq\emptyset$, the result is trivial. Assume that $J\cap K=\emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned}&(\wedge\otimes\mathrm{id}_{S_\sigma(V)})\mathscr{T}_2\mathscr{T}_1(e_I\otimes e_J\otimes e_K)\\ =\;&(-q)^{(I|J)+(I|K)}e_J\wedge e_K\otimes e_I\\ =\;&(-q)^{(I|J\uplus K)}e_J\wedge e_K\otimes e_I\\ =\;&(-q)^{-l(J,\ K)+(I|J\uplus K)}e_{J\uplus K}\otimes e_I\\ =\;&\mathscr{T}((-q)^{-l(J,K)}e_I\otimes e_{J\uplus K})\\ =\;&\mathscr{T}(e_I\otimes e_J\wedge e_K)\\ =\;&\mathscr{T}(\mathrm{id}_{S_\sigma(V)}\otimes\wedge)(e_I\otimes e_J\otimes e_K),\end{aligned}$$

where $J\uplus K$ is the the rearrangement of the disjoint union $J\sqcup K$ with the increasing order.

For $s+t=|J|$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{T}_2\mathscr{T}_1(\mathrm{id}_{S_\sigma(V)}\otimes\delta)(e_I\otimes e_J)\\ =\;&\mathscr{T}_2\mathscr{T}_1(e_I\otimes\sum_{w\in S_{s,t}}(-q)^{-l(w)}e_{J_1(w)}\otimes e_{J_2(w)})\\ =\;&\sum_{w\in S_{s,t}}(-q)^{-l(w)+(I|J_1(w))+(I|J_2(w))}e_{J_1(w)}\otimes e_{J_2(w)}\otimes e_I\\ =\;&(\mathrm{id}_{S_\sigma(V)}\otimes\delta)((-q)^{(I|J)}e_J\otimes e_I)\\ =\;&(\mathrm{id}_{S_\sigma(V)}\otimes\delta)\mathscr{T}(e_I\otimes e_J),\end{aligned}$$

where $J_1(w)=(j_{w^{-1}(1)},\ldots,j_{w^{-1}(s)})$ and $J_2(w)=(j_{w^{-1}(s+1)},\ldots,j_{w^{-1}(s+t)})$. The other conditions can be verified similarly.

Originally, quantum shuffle algebras were discovered from the cotensor algebras (cf. [16]). Combining the discussions in the previous section, it is not hard to see that the cotensor algebra is both a YB algebra and YB coalgebra. Here, we give a more general description of this phenomenon in the framework of bialgebras with a projection onto a Hopf algebra which is due to Radford [15].

Definition 3.4 (cf. [13], [17]). *Let H be a Hopf algebra. A* Hopf bimodule *over H is a vector space M given with an H-bimodule structure, an H-bicomodule structure with left and right coactions $\delta_L:M\rightarrow H\otimes M$, $\delta_R:M\rightarrow M\otimes H$ which commute in the following sense: $(\delta_L\otimes\mathrm{id}_M)\delta_R=(\mathrm{id}_M\otimes\delta_R)\delta_L$, and such that δ_L and δ_R are morphisms of H-bimodules.*

We denote by V the subspace of right coinvariants $M^R = \{m \in M | \delta_R(m) = m \otimes 1\}$. Then V is a left Yetter-Drinfel'd module with coaction δ and the left adjoint action given by: for any $h \in H$ and $m \in M$,

$$h \cdot m = \sum h_{(1)} m S(h_{(2)}).$$

Let H be a Hopf algebra with antipode S and A be a bialgebra. Suppose there are two bialgebra maps $i : H \to A$ and $\pi : A \to H$ such that $\pi \circ i = \mathrm{id}_H$. Set $\Pi = \mathrm{id}_A \star (i \circ S \circ \pi)$, where $\star$ is the convolution product on $\mathrm{End}(A)$, and $B = \Pi(A)$. The following statements are easy to verify (cf. [15]).

1. A is a Hopf bimodule over H with actions $h \cdot a = i(h)a$ and $a \cdot h = ai(h)$, coactions $\delta_L(a) = \sum \pi(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)}$ and $\delta_R(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \otimes \pi(a_{(2)})$ for any $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Obviously, by the projection formula from a Hopf bimodule to its right coinvariant subspace, $A^R = B$. So B is a left Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H with the left adjoint action.

2. B is a subalgebra of A. Furthermore it is both a module-algebra and a comodule-algebra. B has a coalgebra structure such that Π is a coalgebra map. And with this coalgebra structure, B is both a module-coalgebra and comodule-coalgebra.

3. The map $B \otimes H \to A$ given by $b \otimes h \mapsto bi(h)$ is a bialgebra isomorphism, where $B \otimes H$ is with the smash product and smash coproduct.

So combining Woronowicz's examples and Proposition 2.17, the bialgebra A is both a YB algebra and a YB coalgebra. If A is moreover a Hopf algebra, then it is again a YB algebra and YB coalgebra with Woronowicz's braidings. Obviously, these two YB algebra (resp. coalgebra) structures are different.

Now we restrict our attention on cotensor algebras, which will give us YB algebras related to quantum groups. For a Hopf bimodule M over H, one can construct the cotensor algebra over $T_H^c(M)$. More precisely, we define $M \square M = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_R \otimes \mathrm{id}_M - \mathrm{id}_M \otimes \delta_L)$ and $M^{\square k} = M^{\square k-1} \square M$ for $k \geq 3$. And the cotensor algebra built over H and M is $T_H^c(M) = H \oplus M \oplus \oplus_{k \geq 2} M^{\square k}$. It is again a Hopf bimodule over H. From the universal property of cotensor algebras, one can construct a Hopf algebra structure with a complicated multiplication on $T_H^c(M)$. We denote by $S_H(M)$ the subalgebra of $T_H^c(M)$ generated by H and M. Then $S_H(M)$ is a sub-Hopf algebra. For more details, one can see [13]. Obviously, $T^c(V)$ defined above is the cotensor algebra over the trivial Hopf algebra K. Here V is a Hopf bimodule with scalar multiplication and the coactions defined by $\delta_L(v) = 1 \otimes v$ and $\delta_R(v) = v \otimes 1$ for any $v \in V$.

Since the inclusion $H \to T_H^c(M)$ and the projection $T_H^c(M) \to H$ are bialgebra maps, we get:

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a Hopf bimodule over H. Then $T_H^c(M)$ is both a YB algebra and YB coalgebra. So is $S_H(M)$.*

As an application of the above theorem, we consider the following special case. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}/l_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/l_2 \times \cdots \mathbb{Z}/l_p$ and $H = K[G]$ be the group algebra of G. We fix generators $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ of G ($N = r + p$). Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_N\}$. We know V is a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H with action and coaction given by $K_i \cdot e_j = q_{ij} e_j$ and $\delta_L(e_i) = K_i \otimes e_i$ with some nonzero scalar $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively. The braiding coming from the Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure is given by $\sigma(e_i \otimes e_j) = q_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$. Now we choose special q_{ij} to construct meaningful examples. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ be a symetrizable generalized Cartan matrix, $(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$ positive integers relatively prime such that $(d_i a_{ij})$ is symmetric. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and define $q_{ij} = q^{d_i a_{ij}}$. By Theorem 15 in [16], $S_H(M)$ is isomorphic, as a Hopf algebra, to the sub Hopf algebra U_q^+ of the quantized universal enveloping algebra associated with A when $G = \mathbb{Z}^N$ and q is not a root of unity; $S_H(M)$ is isomorphic, as a Hopf algebra, to the quotient of the restricted quantized enveloping algebra u_q^+ by the two-sided Hopf ideal generated by the elements $(K_i^l - 1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ when $G = (\mathbb{Z}/l)^N$ and q is a primitive l-th root of unity. Then we have:

Corollary 3.6. *Both U_q^+ and u_q^+ are YB algebras and YB coalgebras.*

We use the above special $S_\sigma(V) \otimes H$ to illustrate the difference between the braiding coming from Woronowicz's construction and the one from the tensor product of two Yetter-Drinfel'd modules.

We use the following notation: for any $g = K_1^{i_1} \cdots K_N^{i_N} \in G$, $q_{gj} = q_{1j}^{i_1} \cdots q_{Nj}^{i_N}$, i.e., $g \cdot e_j = q_{gj} e_j$. For any $g, h \in G$, Woronowicz's braiding F' has the following action on $S_\sigma(V) \otimes H$:

$$\begin{aligned} & F'\Big((e_i \otimes g) \otimes (e_j \otimes h)\Big) \\ = \ & \sum (e_i \otimes g)_{(1)} (e_j \otimes h) S\big((e_i \otimes g)_{(2)}\big) \otimes (e_i \otimes g)_{(3)} \\ = \ & (K_i g)(e_j \otimes h) S(K_i g) \otimes (e_i \otimes g) \\ & + (K_i g)(e_j \otimes h) S(e_i \otimes g) \otimes g \\ & + (e_i \otimes g)(e_j \otimes h) S(g) \otimes g \\ = \ & q_{ij} q_{gj} (e_j \otimes h) \otimes (e_i \otimes g) \\ & - q_{ij} q_{gj} \big(e_j \otimes K_i g h)((K_i^{-1} g^{-1}) \cdot e_i \otimes K_i^{-1} g^{-1})\big) \otimes g \\ & + (e_i \otimes g)(e_j \otimes h g^{-1}) \otimes g \\ = \ & q_{ij} q_{gj} (e_j \otimes h) \otimes (e_i \otimes g) \\ & - q_{ij} q_{gj} q_{hi} (e_j e_i \otimes h) \otimes g \\ & + q_{gj} (e_i e_j \otimes h) \otimes g, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and third equalities follow from the formulas $\triangle(e_i \otimes g) = K_i g \otimes (e_i \otimes g) + (e_i \otimes g) \otimes g$ and $S(e_i \otimes g) = -(K_i^{-1} g^{-1})(e_i \otimes g)$.

And the braiding in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules is :

$$\Sigma\Big((e_i \otimes g) \otimes (e_j \otimes h)\Big) = q_{ij} (e_j \otimes h) \otimes (e_i \otimes g).$$

4. QUANTUM $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-ALGEBRAS

Let $(C, \triangle, \varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra with a preferred group-like element $1_C \in C$ and denote $\overline{\triangle}(x) = \triangle(x) - x \otimes 1_C - 1_C \otimes x$ for any $x \in C$. And $\overline{\triangle}$ is called the *reduced* *coproduct*. We also denote $\overline{C}=\mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon$. $C=K1_C\oplus\overline{C}$ since $x-\varepsilon(x)1_C\in\overline{C}$ for any $x\in C$.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [11])**.** $(C,\triangle)$ *is said to be* connected *if* $C=\cup_{r\geq 0}F_rC$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned} F_0C &= K1_C,\\ F_rC &= \{x\in C|\overline{\triangle}(x)\in F_{r-1}C\otimes F_{r-1}C\},\quad \text{for r}\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we collect some properties of the reduced coproduct and of connected coalgebras. They are certainly well-known. We provide a proof because we could not find one in the literature.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $(C,\triangle,\varepsilon)$ *be a coalgebra.*

1. The reduced coproduct is coassociative, i.e., $(\overline{\triangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}_C)\overline{\triangle}=(\mathrm{id}_C\otimes\overline{\triangle})\overline{\triangle}$. *So we can adopt the following notations:* $\overline{\triangle}^{(0)}=\mathrm{id}$, $\overline{\triangle}^{(1)}=\overline{\triangle}$, *and* $\overline{\triangle}^{(n)}=(\overline{\triangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}_C^{\otimes n-1})\overline{\triangle}^{(n-1)}$ *for* $n\geq 2$.

2. $\overline{\triangle}(\overline{C})\subset\overline{C}\otimes\overline{C}$.

3. If C is connected, then $\overline{\triangle}^{(r)}(\overline{C}\cap F_rC)=0$ *for any* $r\geq 0$.

Proof. 1. For any $x\in C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{\triangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}_C)\overline{\triangle}(x)\\
&= (\overline{\triangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}_C)(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}-1_C\otimes x-x\otimes 1_C)\\
&= \overline{\triangle}(x_{(1)})\otimes x_{(2)}-\overline{\triangle}(1_C)\otimes x-\overline{\triangle}(x)\otimes 1_C\\
&= (x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}-1_C\otimes x_{(1)}-\otimes x_{(1)}\otimes 1_C)\otimes x_{(3)}\\
&\quad -(1_C\otimes 1_C-1_C\otimes 1_C-1_C\otimes 1_C)\otimes x\\
&\quad -(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}-1_C\otimes x-x\otimes 1_C)\otimes 1_C\\
&= x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}-1_C\otimes x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}-x_{(1)}\otimes 1_C\otimes x_{(2)}\\
&\quad +1_C\otimes 1_C\otimes x-x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes 1_C+1_C\otimes x\otimes 1_C+x\otimes 1_C\otimes 1_C\\
&= x_{(1)}\otimes(x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}-1_C\otimes x_{(2)}-x_{(2)}\otimes 1_C)\\
&\quad -1_C\otimes(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}-1_C\otimes x-x\otimes 1_C)+x\otimes 1_C\otimes 1_C\\
&= x_{(1)}\otimes\overline{\triangle}(x_{(2)})-1_C\otimes\overline{\triangle}(x)-x\otimes\overline{\triangle}(1_C)\\
&= (\mathrm{id}_C\otimes\overline{\triangle})\overline{\triangle}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

2. For any $x\in\overline{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id}_C\otimes\varepsilon)\overline{\triangle}(x) &= (\mathrm{id}_C\otimes\varepsilon)(\triangle(x)-1_C\otimes x-x\otimes 1_C)\\
&= x-0-x\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\overline{\triangle}(x)\in\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{id}_C\otimes\varepsilon)=H\otimes\mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon=H\otimes\overline{C}$. By applying $\varepsilon\otimes\mathrm{id}_C$ on $\overline{\triangle}(x)$, we get $\overline{\triangle}(x)\in\overline{C}\otimes H$. Hence we have $\overline{\triangle}(x)\in(H\otimes\overline{C})\cap(\overline{C}\otimes H)=\overline{C}\otimes\overline{C}$.

3. We use induction on r.

The case $r=0$. $\overline{\triangle}^{(0)}(\overline{C}\cap F_0C)=\mathrm{id}_C(\overline{C}\cap K1_C)=0$.

The case $r = 1$. Given any $x \in \overline{C} \cap F_1C$. Since $x \in F_1C$, we have $\overline{\triangle}(x) \in F_1C \otimes F_1C = K1_C \otimes 1_C$. So we assume $\overline{\triangle}(x) = \alpha 1_C \otimes 1_C$ for some $\alpha \in K$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\overline{\triangle}(x) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(\triangle(x) - x \otimes 1_C - 1_C \otimes x) \\ &= \varepsilon(x) - \varepsilon(1_C)\varepsilon(x) - \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(1_C) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

But we also have $(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\overline{\triangle}(x) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(\alpha 1_C \otimes 1_C) = \alpha$, which implies $\alpha = 0$. So $\overline{\triangle}^{(1)}(\overline{C} \cap F_1C) = 0$.

We assume the result holds for r. For any $x \in \overline{C} \cap F_rC$, $\overline{\triangle}^{(r+1)}(x) = (\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle}^{(r)})\overline{\triangle}(x) \in F_rC \otimes \overline{\triangle}^{(r)}(F_r \cap \overline{C}) = 0$. ☐

There is a well-known universal property for $T^c(V)$:

Proposition 4.3. *Given a connected coalgebra* $(C, \triangle, \varepsilon)$ *and a linear map* $\phi : C \to V$ *such that* $\phi(1_C) = 0$*, there is a unique coalgebra morphism* $\overline{\phi} : C \to T^c(V)$ *which extends* ϕ*, i.e.,* $P_V \circ \overline{\phi} = \phi$*, where* $P_V : T^c(V) \to V$ *is the projection onto* V*. Explicitly,* $\overline{\phi} = \varepsilon + \sum_{n \geq 1} \phi^{\otimes n} \circ \overline{\triangle}^{(n-1)}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let* C *be a connected coalgebra. If* $\Phi, \Psi : C \to T^c(V)$ *are coalgebra maps such that* $P_V \circ \Phi = P_V \circ \Psi$ *and* $P_V \circ \Phi(1_C) = 0 = P_V \circ \Psi(1_C)$*, then* $\Phi = \Psi$.

Proof. C is connected and $P_V \circ \Phi : C \to V$ is a linear map with $P_V \circ \Phi(1_C) = 0$. Then by the universal property we know there is a unique coalgebra map $\overline{P_V \circ \Phi} : C \to T^c(V)$ such that $P_V \circ \overline{P_V \circ \Phi} = P_V \circ \Phi$. But obviously Φ is such a map. So $\overline{P_V \circ \Phi} = \Phi$. From the same reason we have $\overline{P_V \circ \Psi} = \Psi$. Hence we have $\Phi = \Psi$. ☐

Using Proposition 2.2 and the fact, which is mentioned in Section 2, that $(T^c(V), \beta)$ is a YB coalgebra, we know there is a coalgebra structure on $T^c(V)^{\otimes i}$ by combining β and δ:

$$\triangle_{\beta,i} = T^{\beta}_{w_i^{-1}} \circ \delta^{\otimes i},$$

and the counit is $\varepsilon^{\otimes i}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let* (V, σ) *be a braided vector space. Then for any* $n \geq 1$*,* $(T^c(V)^{\otimes n}, \triangle_{\beta,n})$ *is connected.*

Proof. Obviously, $1^{\underline{\otimes} n}$ is a group-like element of $T^c(V)^{\otimes n}$. For any $r \geq 0$, we have that

$$F_r = F_r(T^c(V)^{\otimes n}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_1 + \cdots + i_n \leq r} V^{\otimes i_1} \underline{\otimes} \cdots \underline{\otimes} V^{\otimes i_n}.$$

☐

From now on, we use $\triangle_\beta$ to denote $\triangle_{\beta,2}$ for $n = 2$. Since $w_2^{-1} = s_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_4$, $\triangle_\beta = (\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta)$.

Let $M = \oplus M_{pq} : T^c(V)\underline{\otimes}T^c(V) \to V$ be a linear map such that $M_{pq} : V^{\otimes p}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes q} \to V$, and

$$\begin{cases} M_{00} &= & 0, & & \\ M_{10} &= & \mathrm{id}_V &= & M_{01}, \\ M_{n0} &= & 0 &= & M_{0n}, \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Since $M(1\underline{\otimes}1) = 0$, there is a unique coalgebra map $* : T^c(V)\underline{\otimes}T^c(V) \to T^c(V)$ by the universal property of $T^c(V)$. Explicitly,

$$* = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) + \sum_{n\geq 1} M^{\otimes n} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(n-1)}.$$

We shall investigate conditions under which $*$ is an associative product. Here we start by giving another form of $*$ by using the map M and the deconcatenation δ.

Proposition 4.6. *For $n \geq 0$, we have that*

$$\triangle_\beta^{(n)} = T^\beta_{w_{n+1}} \circ (\delta^{(n)})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proof. We use induction on n.

When $n = 0$, it is trivial since $w_1 = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_2}$.

When $n = 1$, $\triangle_\beta^{(1)} = \triangle_\beta = \beta_2(\delta \otimes \delta) = T^\beta_{w_2} \circ (\delta^{(1)})^{\otimes 2}$ since $w_2 = s_2$.

When $n = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_\beta^{(2)} &= (\triangle_\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\,\triangle_\beta \\ &= \beta_2(\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\beta_2(\delta \otimes \delta) \\ &= \beta_2\big(\delta \otimes (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\beta_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}\big) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \\ &= \beta_2\big(\delta \otimes \beta_2\beta_1(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \delta) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}\big) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \\ &= \beta_2\beta_4\beta_3(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \\ &= T^\beta_{w_3} \circ (\delta^{(2)})^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_\beta^{(n+1)} &= (\triangle_\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2n}_{T^c(V)})\,\triangle_\beta^{(n)} \\ &= \beta_2(\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2n}_{T^c(V)})T^\beta_{w_{n+1}} \circ (\delta^{(n)})^{\otimes 2} \\ &= \beta_2(\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2n}_{T^c(V)})(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}_{T^c(V)} \otimes T^\beta_{w_n})\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n+1} \circ (\delta^{(n)})^{\otimes 2} \\ &= \beta_2(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}_{T^c(V)} \otimes T^\beta_{w_n})(\delta \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2n}_{T^c(V)})\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n+1} \circ (\delta^{(n)})^{\otimes 2} \\ &= \beta_2(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 2}_{T^c(V)} \otimes T^\beta_{w_n})\beta_3\beta_3\beta_5\beta_4 \cdots \beta_{n+3}\beta_{n+2} \\ &\quad \circ(\delta \otimes \otimes\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n}_{T^c(V)}) \circ (\delta^{(n)})^{\otimes 2} \\ &= T^\beta_{w_{n+2}} \circ (\delta^{(n+1)})^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

The third and last equalities follow from the fact that $w_{n+1} = (1_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \times w_n)s_2 \cdots s_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$, $w_{n+2} = s_2(1_{\mathfrak{S}_4} \times w_n)s_4s_3s_5s_4 \cdots s_{n+3}s_{n+2}$ for $n \geq 3$ and both expressions are reduced. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *For $n \geq 1$, we have $M^{\otimes n} \triangle_\beta^{(n-1)} (1\underline{\otimes}1) = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that $\triangle_\beta^{(n-1)}(1\underline{\otimes}1) = (1\underline{\otimes}1)^{\otimes n}$ and $M_{00} = 0$. ☐

Proposition 4.8. *For $n \geq 1$, we have $M^{\otimes n}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(n-1)} = M^{\otimes n}\triangle_\beta^{(n-1)}$*

Proof. We use induction on n.

When $n = 1$, it is trivial.

For $n \geq 2$ any $u, v \in T^c(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&M^{\otimes n}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(n-1)}\\
=\ & \big((M^{\otimes n-1}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(n-2)}) \otimes M\big)\overline{\triangle_\beta}(u\underline{\otimes}v)\\
=\ & \big((M^{\otimes n-1}\triangle_\beta^{(n-2)}) \otimes M\big)\Big(\triangle_\beta (u\underline{\otimes}v) - (1\underline{\otimes}1)\underline{\otimes}(u\underline{\otimes}v) - (u\underline{\otimes}v)\underline{\otimes}(1\underline{\otimes}1)\Big)\\
=\ & M^{\otimes n} \triangle_\beta^{(n-1)} (u\underline{\otimes}v) - \big(M^{\otimes n-1} \triangle_\beta^{(n-2)} (1\underline{\otimes}1)\big)\underline{\otimes}M_{11}(u\underline{\otimes}v)\\
& -\big(M^{\otimes n-1} \triangle_\beta^{(n-2)} (u\underline{\otimes}v)\big)\underline{\otimes}M_{00}(1\underline{\otimes}1)\\
=\ & M^{\otimes n} \triangle_\beta^{(n-1)} (u\underline{\otimes}v).
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Corollary 4.9. *The $*$ defined by the M_{pq}'s can be rewritten as*

$$* = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon + \sum_{n\geq 1} M^{\otimes n} \circ T^\beta_{w_n} \circ (\delta^{(n-1)})^{\otimes 2}.$$

But this $*$ is not an associative product on $T^c(V)$ in general. Now we will generalize the notion of YB algebras by giving some compatibility conditions between M_{pq}'s and the braiding, and prove that under these conditions the new object makes $*$ to be associative automatically and $T^c(V)$ becomes a YB algebra with $*$.

Definition 4.10. *A* quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra (V, M, σ) *is a braided vector space (V, σ) equipped with a operation $M = \oplus M_{pq}$, where*

$$M_{pq} : V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q} \to V, \quad p \geq 0,\ q \geq 0,$$

satisfying

1.
$$\begin{cases} M_{00} &= 0,\\ M_{10} &= \mathrm{id}_V = M_{01},\\ M_{n0} &= 0 = M_{0n}, \text{ for } n \geq 2,\end{cases}$$

2. Yang-Baxter conditions: for any $i, j, k \geq 1$,
$$\begin{cases} \beta_{1k}(M_{ij} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) &= (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k} \otimes M_{ij})\beta_{i+j,k},\\ \beta_{i1}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i} \otimes M_{jk}) &= (M_{jk} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i})\beta_{i,j+k},\end{cases}$$

3. Associativity condition: for any triple (i, j, k) of positive integers,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{i+j} M_{rk} \circ \big((M^{\otimes r} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{j+k} M_{il} \circ \big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i} \otimes (M^{\otimes l} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(l-1)})\big). \tag{5}$$

Remark 4.11. *For any vector space V, (V, τ) is always a braided vector space with the usual flip τ. And the Yang-Baxter conditions in the above definition hold automatically. In this case, the quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra returns to the classical $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra (for the definition of $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebras, one can see* [11]*).*

Examples. 1. A braided vector space (V, σ) is a quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra with $M_{ij} = 0$ except for the pairs $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$.

2. A YB algebra (A, m, σ) is a quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra with $M_{11} = m$ and $M_{ij} = 0$ except for the pairs $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$.

In the following, we adopt the notation $M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} = M_{i_1 j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{i_k j_k}$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let (V, M, σ) be a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra. Then for any $k, l \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \beta_{kl}(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) & = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l}, \\ \beta_{lk}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}) & = & (M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\beta_{l,i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k}. \end{array}\right.$$

Proof. We use induction on k.

The case $k = 1$ is trivial.

$$\begin{array}{cl} & \beta_{k+1,l}(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) \\ = & (\beta_{kl} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k} \otimes \beta_{1l})(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) \\ = & (\beta_{kl} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\Big(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \beta_{1l}(M_{i_{k+1}j_{k+1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\Big) \\ = & (\beta_{kl} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\Big(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{i_{k+1}j_{k+1}})\beta_{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}\Big) \\ = & \Big(\beta_{kl}(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V\Big) \\ & \circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k+l} \otimes M_{i_{k+1}j_{k+1}})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k} \otimes \beta_{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}) \\ = & \Big((\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V\Big) \\ & \circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k+l} \otimes M_{i_{k+1}j_{k+1}})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k} \otimes \beta_{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}) \\ & \circ(\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k} \otimes \beta_{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l}. \end{array}$$

The another equality is proved similarly. ☐

Lemma 4.13. *Let $(C, \triangle, \sigma)$ be a YB coalgebra and 1_C be a group-like element of C. If $\sigma(1_C \otimes x) = x \otimes 1_C$ and $\sigma(x \otimes 1_C) = 1_C \otimes x$ for any $x \in C$, then we have*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} (\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle})\sigma & = & \sigma_1\sigma_2(\overline{\triangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_C), \\ (\overline{\triangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_C)\sigma & = & \sigma_2\sigma_1(\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle}). \end{array}\right.$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in C$, we denote $\sigma(x \otimes y) = y' \otimes x'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle})\sigma(x \otimes y) \\ = \ & (\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle})(y' \otimes x') \\ = \ & y' \otimes \overline{\triangle}(x') \\ = \ & y' \otimes \triangle(x') - y' \otimes 1_C \otimes x' - y' \otimes x' 1_C \\ = \ & (\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \triangle)\sigma(x \otimes y) - \sigma_1\sigma_2(1_C \otimes x \otimes y) - \sigma_1\sigma_2(x \otimes 1_C \otimes y) \\ = \ & \sigma_1\sigma_2\big((\triangle(x) - 1_C \otimes x - x \otimes 1_C) \otimes y\big) \\ = \ & \sigma_1\sigma_2(\overline{\triangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_C)(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

The another equality is proved similarly. □

The following notation is adopted to shorten the length of identities. We denote by $\overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}$ the composition of $\overline{\triangle}_\beta : V^{\otimes i_1+i_2} \underline{\otimes} V^{\otimes j_1+j_2} \rightarrow (T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V))\underline{\otimes}(T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V))$ with the projection $(T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V))\underline{\otimes}(T(V)\underline{\otimes}T(V)) \rightarrow V^{\otimes i_1}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_1}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes i_2}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_2}$. And we denote

$$\overline{\triangle}^{(k-1)}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} = (\overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+i_k+j_k}) \circ \overline{\triangle}^{(k-2)}_{\beta(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_k,j_k)},$$

the map from $V^{\otimes i_1+\cdots+i_k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_1+\cdots+j_k}$ to $V^{\otimes i_1}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_1}\underline{\otimes}\cdots\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes i_k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_k}$.

Lemma 4.14. *For any $k, l \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l}(\overline{\triangle}^{(k-1)}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}) \\ \quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes \overline{\triangle}^{(k-1)}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l}, \\ \beta_{l,i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l} \otimes \overline{\triangle}^{(k-1)}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}) \\ \quad = \quad (\overline{\triangle}^{(k-1)}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\beta_{l,i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $(T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}, \triangle_\beta, T^\beta_{\chi_{22}})$ is a YB coalgebra, by the above lemma, we have

$$\begin{cases} (\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \overline{\triangle_\beta})T^\beta_{\chi_{22}} \\ \quad = \quad (T^\beta_{\chi_{22}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}})(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}} \otimes T^\beta_{\chi_{22}})(\overline{\triangle_\beta} \otimes \mathrm{id}_C), \\ (\overline{\triangle_\beta} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}})T^\beta_{\chi_{22}} \\ \quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}} \otimes T^\beta_{\chi_{22}})(T^\beta_{\chi_{22}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)^{\otimes 2}})(\mathrm{id}_C \otimes \overline{\triangle_\beta}). \end{cases}$$

On $V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes l}$, $T^\beta_{\chi_{22}} = T^\sigma_{\chi_{i+j,k+l}} = \beta_{i+j,k+l}$.

So on $V^{\otimes i_1+i_2}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j_1+j_2}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes r}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r+s} \otimes \overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\beta_{i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2,r+s}) \\ & \quad = \quad (\beta_{i_1+j_1,r+s} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_2+j_2})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1} \otimes \beta_{i_2+j_2,r+s})(\overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r+s}), \end{aligned}$$

and on $V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes l}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r+s})\beta_{r+s,i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2} \\ & \quad = \quad (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1} \otimes \beta_{r+s,i_2+j_2})(\beta_{r+s,i_1+j_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_2+j_2})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r+s} \otimes \overline{\triangle}_{\beta(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove our lemma, we use induction on k and the above formulas for $r=l$ and $s=0$.

The cases $k=1$ and $k=2$ are trivial.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& (\beta_{i_1+i_2+j_1+j_2,l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2}\otimes\beta_{i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1},l})\\
&\circ(\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}+l})(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& (\beta_{i_1+i_2+j_1+j_2,l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})(\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}+l})\\
&\circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2}\otimes\beta_{i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1},l})(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& (\beta_{i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2,l}(\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})\\
&\circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2}\otimes\beta_{i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1},l})(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& ((\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)})\beta_{i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2,l}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})\\
&\circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_1+j_1+i_2+j_2}\otimes\beta_{i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1},l})(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})\\
&\circ\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+j_{k+1},l}(\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})}\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l})\\
={}& (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes\overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,i_2,j_2)}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i_3+j_3+\cdots+j_{k+1}})\\
&\circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1+i_2,j_1+j_2,i_3,j_3,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+j_{k+1},l}\\
={}& (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_{k+1},j_{k+1})})\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_{k+1}+j_{k+1},l}.
\end{aligned}$$

The another equality can be proved similarly. □

Proposition 4.15. *Let (V,M,σ) be a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra. Then we have*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}
\beta(*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) & = & (\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}\otimes *)\beta_1\beta_2,\\
\beta(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}\otimes *) & = & (*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\beta_2\beta_1,
\end{array}\right.$$

where $*=\varepsilon\otimes\varepsilon+\sum_{r\geq 1}M^{\otimes r}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)}$.

Proof. We only need to verify that for all $k,l\geq 1$,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{kl}\big((M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\big)\\
\quad=\quad\big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\big)\beta_{i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k,l},\\
\beta_{lk}\big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\otimes(M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\big)\\
\quad=\quad\big((M_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1,j_1,\dots,i_k,j_k)})\otimes\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\big)\beta_{l,i_1+j_1+\cdots+i_k+j_k}.
\end{array}\right.$$

They follow from the above lemmas immediately. □

Theorem 4.16. *Let (V, M, σ) be a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra. Then $(T(V), *, \beta)$ is a YB algebra.*

Proof. We only need to show that $*$ is associative. First we show that $*(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})$ and $*(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *)$ are coalgebra maps from $(T^c(V)^{\otimes 3}, \triangle_{\beta,3})$ to $T^c(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta \circ *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ \triangle_\beta \circ (* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ \beta_2 \circ \delta^{\otimes 2} \circ (* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ \beta_2 \circ (\delta * \otimes \delta)\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ \beta_2 \circ ((* \otimes *) \circ \triangle_\beta \otimes \delta)\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ \beta_2 \circ (* \otimes * \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ \beta_2 \circ \beta^{\otimes 3}\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ (* \otimes \beta(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ \beta_2 \circ \delta^{\otimes 3}\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ (* \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *)\beta_1\beta_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ \beta_2 \circ \delta^{\otimes 3}\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ (* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes * \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ \beta_3\beta_4\beta_2 \circ \delta^{\otimes 3}\\
&\quad= \quad (* \otimes *) \circ (* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes * \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \circ T^\beta_{w_3^{-1}} \circ \delta^{\otimes 3}\\
&\quad= \quad (*(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) \otimes *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}))\, \triangle_{\beta,3}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The first and third equalities follow from the fact that $* : T^C(V)\underline{\otimes}T^c(V) \to T^c(V)$ is a coalgebra map.

Similarly, we can prove that $*(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *)$ is also a coalgebra map.

Now we show that $P_V \circ *(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) = P_V \circ *(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *)$. On $V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_V \circ \big(* (* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)})\big)\\
&\quad= \quad P_V\Big(\sum_{s=1}^{i+j+k} M^{\otimes s} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(s-1)} \circ \big(\sum_{r=1}^{i+j} (M^{\otimes r} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big)\Big)\\
&\quad= \quad \sum_{r=1}^{i+j} M_{rk} \circ \big((M^{\otimes r} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big)\\
&\quad= \quad \sum_{l=1}^{j+k} M_{il} \circ \big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i} \otimes (M^{\otimes l} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(l-1)})\big)\\
&\quad= \quad P_V\Big(\sum_{s=1}^{i+j+k} M^{\otimes s} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(s-1)} \circ \big(\sum_{l=1}^{j+k} \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i} \otimes (M^{\otimes l} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(l-1)})\big)\Big)\\
&\quad= \quad P_V \circ *(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the Corollary 4.4, we have that $*(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)}) = *(\mathrm{id}_{T^c(V)} \otimes *)$. The compatibility conditions for the unit and braiding are trivial. $\square$

Remark 4.17. *By using the dual construction stated in Remark 2.3.3, we can easily define* quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-coalgebras *and prove that they provide YB coalgebras.*

Example (Reconstruction of quantum shuffle algebras). Let (V, σ) be a braided vector space. Then (V, M, σ) is a $\mathbf{B}_\infty$ -algebra with $M_{10} = \mathrm{id}_V = M_{01}$ and $M_{pq} =$

0 for other cases. The resulting algebra $T(V)$ in the above theorem is just the quantum shuffle algebra, i.e., $* = \shuffle_\sigma$.

Example (Quantum quasi-shuffle algebras). Let (V, m, σ) be a YB algebra. Then (V, M, σ) is a $\mathbf{B}_\infty$ -algebra with $M_{10} = \mathrm{id}_V = M_{01}$, $M_{11} = m$ and $M_{pq} = 0$ for other cases. The resulting algebra $T(V)$ in the above theorem is called the quantum quasi-shuffle algebra. We denote by $\bowtie_\sigma$ the quantum quasi-shuffle product. This new product has the following inductive relation: for any $u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_j \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i) \bowtie_\sigma (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j)\\
&\quad = \big((u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i) \bowtie_\sigma (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j-1})\big) \otimes v_j\\
&\qquad +(\bowtie_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_A)\sigma_{i+j-1} \cdots \sigma_i(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j)\\
&\qquad +(\bowtie_\sigma \otimes m)\sigma_{i+j-2} \cdots \sigma_i(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j). \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

It is the generalization of quantum shuffle algebra and the quantization of the classical quasi-shuffle algebra. We will discuss systematically the quantum quasi-shuffle algebra in a subsequent article, the second paper of this series. But now we illustrate it by some examples.

1. Let (H, F') be the Woronowicz's YB algebra. Then the first three products of the quantum quasi-shuffle algebra $(T(H), \bowtie_{F'} \beta)$ are: for any $a, b, c \in H$,

$$a \bowtie_{F'} b = ab + a \otimes b + \sum a_{(1)} b S(a_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(3)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes b) \bowtie_{F'} c \;=\;& a \otimes bc + \sum ab_{(1)} c S(b_{(2)}) \otimes b_{(3)}\\
&+ a \otimes b \otimes c + \sum a \otimes b_{(1)} c S(b_{(2)}) \otimes b_{(3)}\\
&+ \sum a_{(1)} b_{(1)} c S(a_{(2)} b_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(3)} \otimes b_{(3)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a \bowtie_{F'} (b \otimes c) \;=\;& ab \otimes c + \sum a_{(1)} b S(a_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(3)} c\\
&+ a \otimes b \otimes c + \sum a_{(1)} b S(a_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(3)} \otimes c\\
&+ \sum a_{(1)} b S(a_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(3)} c S(a_{(4)}) \otimes a_{(5)}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Let V be a vector space with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. We define a braiding σ on by $\sigma(e_i \otimes e_j) = q_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$ for some non zero scalars q_{ij}. For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, set $q_{IJ} = \prod_{r \in \{1, \dots, k\}, s \in \{1, \dots, l\}} q_{i_r, j_s}$. We use $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}$ to denote $e_{i_1} \shuffle_\sigma \cdots \shuffle_\sigma e_{i_k}$. Since $\chi_{rs}(\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mathfrak{S}_s) = (\mathfrak{S}_s \times \mathfrak{S}_r)\chi_{rs}$ and all expressions are reduced, we have $\beta((e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}})) = q_{IJ}(e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}}) \otimes (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \bowtie_\sigma (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\\
&\quad = (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\\
&\qquad + (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}}) + q_{IJ}(e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}}) \otimes (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&((e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}})) \bowtie_\sigma (e_{i_{k+l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\quad = (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\qquad + q_{JK}(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k} e_{i_{k+l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\qquad + (e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l}}) \otimes (e_{i_{k+l+1}} \cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+q_{JK}(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\otimes(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\\
&+q_{IK}q_{JK}(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\otimes(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k})\otimes(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}}).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k})\bowtie_\sigma((e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}}))\\
&= (e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\quad +q_{IJ}(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\quad +(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k})\otimes(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\quad +q_{IJ}(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\\
&\quad +q_{IJ}q_{IK}(e_{i_{k+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l}})\otimes(e_{i_{k+l+1}}\cdots e_{i_{k+l+m}})\otimes(e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.18. *Let V be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over a Hopf algebra H which is both a module-algebra and comodule-algebra with multiplication m_V. Then the quantum quasi-shuffle algebra built on V is a module-algebra with the diagonal action and a comodule-algebra with the diagonal coaction.*

Proof. We use induction to prove the statement. On $V\underline{\otimes}V$, $\bowtie_\sigma= m_V+ Ш_\sigma$. Since $T_\sigma(V)$ is both a module-algebra and comodule-algebra with the diagonal action and coaction respectively, and m_V is both a module map and comodule map, the result holds. Using the inductive relation (6) to reduce the degree, the rest of the proof follows from that m_V is both a module map and comodule map. □

Remark 4.19. *We make the assumptions above. Using the inductive relation (6), we can define a map $\bowtie_\sigma$: $T(V)\otimes T(V)\to T(V)$. It is not difficult to prove by induction that this $\bowtie_\sigma$ defines an associative product on $T(V)$. Noticing that the natural braiding of the Yetter-Drinfel'd module $T(V)$ is just β, $T(V)$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.11. Hence we can reprove that $(T(V),\bowtie_\sigma,\beta)$ is a YB algebra in this special case.*

Let (V,M,σ) be a quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra and $*$ be the product constructed by M and σ as before. We denote by $Q_\sigma(V)$ the subalgebra of $(T(V),*)$ generated by V. If we define $*^n: V^{\otimes n+1}\to T(V)$ by $v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{n+1}\mapsto v_1*\cdots*v_{n+1}$, and $*^0=\mathrm{id}_V$ for convenience, then $Q_\sigma(V)=K\oplus\oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathrm{Im}*^n$. This algebra is a generalization of the quantum symmetric algebra over V.

Proposition 4.20. *$(Q_\sigma(V),\beta)$ is a YB algebra.*

Proof. In order to prove the statement, we only need to verify that β is a braiding on $Q_\sigma(V)$. In fact, we have that $\beta(*^k\otimes *^l)=(*^l\otimes *^k)\beta_{k+1,l+1}$. We use induction on $k+l$.

The case $k=l=0$ is trivial since $\sigma(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\mathrm{id}_V)=(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\mathrm{id}_V)\sigma$.

When $k+l\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(*^k\otimes *^l) &= \beta(*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(V)})(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *^{k-1}\otimes *^l)\\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{T(V)}\otimes *)\beta_1\beta_2(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *^{k-1}\otimes *^l)\\
&= (\mathrm{id}_{T(V)}\otimes *)\beta_1\big(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes\beta(*^{k-1}\otimes *^l)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\mathrm{id}_{T(V)} \otimes *)\beta_1(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes *^l \otimes *^{k-1})(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \beta_{k,l+1}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{T(V)} \otimes *)(\beta(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes *^l) \otimes *^{k-1})(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \beta_{k,l+1}) \\ &= (*^l \otimes *^k)(\beta_{1,l+1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k})(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \beta_{k,l+1}) \\ &= (*^l \otimes *^k)\beta_{k+1,l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For any quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebra (V, M, σ), if we endow $T(V)$ with the usual grading, then the algebra $(T(V), *)$ is not graded in general. But with this grading, we have:

Proposition 4.21. *The term of highest degree in the product $*$ is the quantum shuffle product.*

Proof. We need to verify that for any $i, j \geq 1$, $M^{\otimes i+j}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(i+j-1)} = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{ij}} T_w^\sigma$. We use induction on $i+j$. When $i = j = 1$, $M^{\otimes 2}\overline{\triangle_\beta}(u \otimes v) = u \otimes v + \sigma(u \otimes v) = u \text{ш}_\sigma v$.

$$\begin{aligned} & M^{\otimes i+j}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(i+j-1)}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j) \\ &= \Big((M^{\otimes i+j-1}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(i+j-2)}) \otimes M\Big)\overline{\triangle_\beta}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j) \\ &= \Big((M^{\otimes i+j-1}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(i+j-2)}) \otimes M\Big)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j-1} \underline{\otimes} 1 \underline{\otimes} v_j \\ &\quad + u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i-1} \underline{\otimes} \beta_{1j}(u_i \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j) \underline{\otimes} 1) \\ &= \big(\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{i,j-1}} T_w^\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V + \sum_{w' \in \mathfrak{S}_{i-1,j}} (T_w^\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_{i+j-1} \cdots \sigma_i\big)(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j) \\ &= (u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i) \text{ш}_\sigma (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j). \end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the fact that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{i,j}$ implies either $w(i+j) = i+j$ or $w(i) = i+j$. □

From the classical theory (cf. [11]), we also know that $(T^c(V), *)$ has an antipode S given by $S(1) = 1$ and $S(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} *^{\otimes n} \circ \overline{\delta}^{(n)}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\varepsilon$.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF QUANTUM $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-ALGEBRAS

We now introduce a new notion motivated by [11].

Definition 5.1. *A* unital 2-YB algebra *is a braided vector space (V, σ) equipped with two associative algebra structure $*$ and $\cdot$, which share the same unit, such that both $(V, *, \sigma)$ and $(V, \cdot, \sigma)$ are YB algebras. We denote a 2-YB algebra by $(V, *, \cdot, \sigma)$.*

Examples. 1. Let (A, m, α) be a YB algebra. Then (A, m, m, α) is a trivial unital 2-YB algebra.

2. Let (V, σ) be a braided vector space. Then $(T(V), m, \text{ш}_\sigma, \beta)$ is a unital 2-YB algebra, where m is the concatenation product.

Let $(V, *, \cdot, \sigma)$ be a unital 2-YB algebra. We adopt the notation $\cdot^k = \cdot^{\otimes k} : V^{\otimes k+1} \to V$. We define $M_{pq} : V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q} \to V$ for $p, q \geq 0$ inductively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} M_{00} & = & 0, & & \\ M_{10} & = & \mathrm{id}_V & = & M_{01}, \\ M_{n0} & = & 0 & = & M_{0n}, \text{ for } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{pq}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_p \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_q) \\ & \quad = \quad (u_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot u_p) * (v_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot v_q) \\ & \qquad - \sum_{k=2}^{p+q} \sum_{I_k, J_k} \cdot^{k-1} M_{(i_i, j_1, \ldots, i_k, j_k)} \circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(k-1)}_{(i_1, j_1, \ldots, i_k, j_k)} (u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_p \underline{\otimes} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_q), \end{aligned}$$

where $I_k = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and $J_k = (j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ run through all the partitions of length k of p and q respectively.

For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}(u \underline{\otimes} v) &= u * v \\ &\quad - \cdot (M_{01} \otimes M_{10})(1 \otimes \sigma(u \otimes v) \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - \cdot (M_{10} \otimes M_{01})(u \otimes \sigma(1 \otimes 1) \otimes v) \\ &= u * v - \cdot\sigma(u \otimes v) - u \cdot v, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{21}(u \otimes v \underline{\otimes} w) &= (u \cdot v) * w \\ &\quad - u \cdot M_{11}(v \underline{\otimes} w) - \cdot(M_{11} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(u \otimes \sigma(v \otimes w)) \\ &\quad - \cdot^2 \big(u \otimes v \otimes w + \sigma_2(u \otimes v \otimes w) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(u \otimes v \otimes w)\big) \\ &= (u \cdot v) * w - u \cdot (v * w) \\ &\quad + \cdot^2 \sigma_2(u \otimes v \otimes w) - \cdot(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_2(u \otimes v \otimes w), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}(u \underline{\otimes} v \otimes w) &= u * (v \cdot w) - (u * v) \cdot w \\ &\quad + \cdot^2 \sigma_1(u \otimes v \otimes w) - \cdot(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes *)\sigma_1(u \otimes v \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(V, *, \cdot, \sigma)$ be a unital 2-YB algebra and $M = (M_{pq})$ be the maps defined above. Then (V, M, σ) is a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra.*

Proof. First we verify the Yang-Baxter conditions. We use induction on $i + j + k$.

When $i = j = k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{11}(M_{11} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) &= \sigma(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V - (\cdot \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_1 - \cdot \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes *)\sigma_1\sigma_2 - (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \cdot)\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 - (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \cdot)\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes *)\sigma_1\sigma_2 - (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \cdot)\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 - (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \cdot)\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ &= \big(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes (* - \cdot\sigma - \cdot)\big)\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes M_{11})\beta_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{1k}(M_{pq} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) \\ & \quad = \quad \beta_{1k}(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k})(\cdot^{p-1} \otimes \cdot^{q-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum \beta_{1k}(\cdot^{r-1}\otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes l})\Big((M_{(i_i,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)}\circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\Big)\\
= &\ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes *)(\beta_{1k}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \beta_{1k})(\cdot^{p-1}\otimes \cdot^{q-1}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k})\\
&-\sum(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes l}\otimes \cdot^{r-1})\beta_{rk}\Big((M_{(i_i,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)}\circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)})\otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes l}\Big)\\
= &\ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes *)(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes \cdot^{p-1}\otimes \cdot^{q-1})\beta_{p+q,k}\\
&-\sum(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes l}\otimes \cdot^{r-1})\Big((M_{(i_i,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)}\circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}_{(i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_r,j_r)})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes l}\Big)\beta_{p+q,k}\\
= &\ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\otimes M_{pq})\beta_{p+q,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the induction hypothesis and a similar method used in the proof of Proposition 4.12.

The condition $\beta_{i1}(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\otimes M_{jk})=(M_{jk}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i})\beta_{i,j+k}$ can be verified similarly.

Now we want to prove that $M=(M_{pq})$ also satisfy the associativity condition. We also use induction on $i+j+k$.

When $i=j=k=1$, the associativity condition is just $M_{11}(M_{11}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)+M_{21}+M_{21}\sigma_1=M_{11}(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes M_{11})+M_{12}+M_{12}\sigma_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_{11}(M_{11}\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)+M_{21}+M_{21}\sigma_1\\
= &\ *^2-\cdot\sigma(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\\
&-*(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_1+\cdot\sigma(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_1+\cdot^2\sigma_1\\
&-*(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)+\cdot\sigma(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)+\cdot^2\\
&+*(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)+\cdot^2\sigma_2-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_2\\
&+*(\cdot\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_1-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\sigma_1+\cdot^2\sigma_2\sigma_1-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_2\sigma_1\\
= &\ *^2-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\sigma_1\sigma_2-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\\
&+\cdot^2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1+\cdot^2\sigma_1+\cdot^2\sigma_1\sigma_2+\cdot^2\\
&-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)+\cdot^2\sigma_2-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_2\\
&-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\sigma_1+\cdot^2\sigma_2\sigma_1-\cdot\sigma(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\\
= &\ *^2-\cdot\sigma(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\\
&-*(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)\sigma_2+\cdot\sigma(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)\sigma_2+\cdot^2\sigma_2\\
&-*(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)+\cdot\sigma(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)+\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)\\
&+*(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)+\cdot^2\sigma_1-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\sigma_1\\
&+*(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes \cdot)\sigma_2-\cdot(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)\sigma_2+\cdot^2\sigma_1\sigma_2-\cdot(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)\sigma_1\sigma_2\\
= &\ M_{11}(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes M_{11})+M_{12}+M_{12}\sigma_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $i+j+k\geq 2$, we first notice that $*(\cdot^{i-1}\otimes \cdot^{j-1})=\sum_{r\geq 1}\cdot^{r-1}M^{\otimes r}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)}=\sum_{r\geq 1}\cdot^{r-1}M^{\otimes r}\triangle_\beta^{(r-1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=1}^{i+j}M_{rk}\circ\big((M^{\otimes r}\circ\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(r-1)})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big)\\
= &\ \sum_{r\geq 1}\big(*(\cdot^{r-1}\otimes \cdot^{r-1})-\sum_{l\geq 2}\cdot^{l-1}M^{\otimes l}\circ\triangle_\beta^{(l-1)})\big)\circ\big((M^{\otimes r}\circ\triangle_\beta^{(r-1)})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \quad & *\big((\sum_{r\geq 1} \cdot^{r-1} M^{\otimes r} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \cdot^{k-1}\big) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{l\geq 2} \cdot^{l-1} M^{\otimes l} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(l-1)} \circ \big((M^{\otimes r} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big) \\
= \quad & *\big(* (\cdot^{i-1} \otimes \cdot^{j-1}) \otimes \cdot^{k-1}\big) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{l\geq 2} \cdot\big((\cdot^{l-2} M^{\otimes l-1} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(l-2)}) \otimes M\big) \triangle_{\beta} \circ\big((M^{\otimes r} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big) \\
= \quad & *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-1} \otimes \cdot^{j-1} \otimes \cdot^{k-1}) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{\underline{2}} \cdot\big(* (\cdot^{p_1-1} \otimes \cdot^{q_1-1}) \otimes M_{p_2,q_2}\big) \\
& \qquad\qquad \circ \triangle_{\beta\ (p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2)} \circ\big((M^{\otimes r} \circ \triangle_{\beta}^{(r-1)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}\big) \\
= \quad & *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-1} \otimes \cdot^{j-1} \otimes \cdot^{k-1}) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{\underline{2}} \cdot\big(* (\cdot^{p_1-1} \otimes \cdot^{q_1-1}) \otimes M_{p_2,q_2}\big) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes p_1} \otimes \beta_{p_2,q_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_2}) \\
& \qquad \circ(\sum M_{(r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{p_1})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{q_1})}^{(p_1-1)} \\
& \qquad\quad \otimes M_{(r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})}^{(p_2-1)} \\
& \qquad\quad \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_2}) \\
& \qquad \circ(\triangle_{\beta\ (r_1+\cdots+r_{p_1},s_1+\cdots+s_{p_1},r_{p_1+1}+\cdots+r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+1}+\cdots+s_{p_1+p_2})} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) \\
= \quad & *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-1} \otimes \cdot^{j-1} \otimes \cdot^{k-1}) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{\underline{2}} \cdot\big(* (\cdot^{p_1-1} \otimes \cdot^{q_1-1}) \otimes M_{p_2,q_2}\big) \\
& \quad \circ(\sum M_{(r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{p_1})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{q_1})}^{(p_1-1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_1} \\
& \quad \otimes M_{(r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})}^{(p_2-1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_2}) \\
& \quad \circ(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r_1+\cdots+s_{p_1}} \otimes \beta_{r_{p_1+1}+\cdots+s_{p_1+p_2},q_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_2}) \\
& \quad \circ(\triangle_{\beta\ (r_1+\cdots+r_{p_1},s_1+\cdots+s_{p_1},r_{p_1+1}+\cdots+r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+1}+\cdots+s_{p_1+p_2})} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes k}) \\
= \quad & *(* \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-1} \otimes \cdot^{j-1} \otimes \cdot^{k-1}) \\
& - \sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{\underline{2}} \cdot\big(* (\cdot^{p_1-1} \otimes \cdot^{q_1-1}) \otimes M_{p_2,q_2}\big) \\
& \quad \circ(\sum M_{(r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{p_1})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_1,s_1,\ldots,r_{p_1},s_{q_1})}^{(p_1-1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_1} \\
& \qquad \otimes M_{(r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})} \triangle_{\beta\ (r_{p_1+1},s_{p_1+1},\ldots,r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+p_2})}^{(p_2-1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes q_2}) \\
& \quad \circ \triangle_{\beta,3,(r_1+\cdots+r_{p_1},s_1+\cdots+s_{p_1},q_1,r_{p_1+1}+\cdots+r_{p_1+p_2},s_{p_1+1}+\cdots+s_{p_1+p_2},q_2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= *(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-1}\otimes \cdot^{j-1}\otimes \cdot^{k-1})\\
&\quad -\cdot \sum_{p+q+r<i+j+k} \big(*(*\otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\cdot^{i-p-1}\otimes \cdot^{j-q-1}\otimes \cdot^{k-r-1})\\
&\qquad\qquad \otimes \sum_{s\geq 1} M_{sr}\circ \big((M^{\otimes s}\circ \triangle_\beta^{(s-1)})\otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes s}\big)\circ \triangle_{\beta,3,(i-p,j-q,k-r,p,q,r)}\\
&= *(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)(\cdot^{i-1}\otimes \cdot^{j-1}\otimes \cdot^{k-1})\\
&\quad -\cdot \sum_{p+q+r<i+j+k} \big(*(\mathrm{id}_V\otimes *)(\cdot^{i-p-1}\otimes \cdot^{j-q-1}\otimes \cdot^{k-r-1})\\
&\qquad\qquad \otimes \sum_{s\geq 1} M_{ps}\circ \big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes p}\otimes (M^{\otimes s}\circ \triangle_\beta^{(s-1)})\big)\circ \triangle_{\beta,3,(i-p,j-q,k-r,p,q,r)}\\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{j+k} M_{il}\circ \big(\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes i}\otimes (M^{\otimes l}\circ \overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(l-1)})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the induction hypothesis and the associativity of $*$. And here $\triangle_{\beta,3,(i,j,k,l,m,n)}$ means the composition of $\triangle_{\beta,3}: V^{\otimes i+k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j+m}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes l+n}\to T(V)^{\otimes 6}$ with the projection from $T(V)^{\otimes 6}$ to $V^{\otimes i}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes j}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes k}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes l}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes m}\underline{\otimes}V^{\otimes n}$. □

Let $A_{2\mathcal{YB}}$ be the category of unital 2-YB algebras and $A_{\mathcal{QB}_\infty}$ be the category of quantum $\mathbf{B}_\infty$-algebras. By the above proposition, we get a functor

$$(-)_{Q\mathbf{B}_\infty}: A_{2\mathcal{YB}} \;\to\; A_{\mathcal{QB}_\infty},$$

by $(V)_{Q\mathbf{B}_\infty}=(V,M,\sigma)$ for any $(V,*,\cdot,\sigma)\in A_{2\mathcal{YB}}$.

By the above proposition, we have immediately that:

Corollary 5.3. *Let (V,M,σ) be a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra and $(T(V),*,m,\beta)$ be the 2-YB algebra with product $*=\varepsilon\otimes\varepsilon+\sum_{n\geq 1}M^{\otimes n}\overline{\triangle_\beta}^{(n-1)}$ and m the concatenation. Then the inclusion $i: V\to T(V)$ is a quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra morphism, i.e., $i\circ M_{pq}=\overline{M}_{pq}\circ(i^{\otimes p}\otimes i^{\otimes q})$, for any $p,q\geq 0$. Here $\overline{M}_{pq}$ is the quantum $\boldsymbol{B}_\infty$-algebra structure on $T(V)$ defined above.*

REFERENCES

[1] D.M. Bradley, Multiple q-zeta values, J. Algebra 283 (2005) 752–798.

[2] J.C. Baez, Hochschild homology in a braided tensor category, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994) 885–906.

[3] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, S. Zhu, Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1787, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[4] D. Flores, J.A. Green, Quantum symmetric algebras II, J.Algebra 269 (2003) 610-631.

[5] D.I. Gurevich, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990) 119–148; translation in Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991) 801–828.

[6] M. Hashimoto, T. Hayashi, Quantum multilinear algebra, Tôhoku Math.J. 44 (1992) 471-521.

[7] M.E. Hoffman, Quasi-shuffle products, J. Algebraic Combin. 11 (2000) 49–68.

[8] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[9] C. Kassel, M. Rosso, V. Turaev, Quantum groups and knot invariants, Panoramas et Synthèses, numéro 5, Société Mathématique de France, 1997.

[10] L.A. Lambe, D.E. Radford, Introduction to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and quantum groups: an algebraic approach. Mathematics and its Applications 423, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
[11] J.L. Loday, M. Ronco, On the structure of cofree Hopf algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 592 (2006) 123–155.
[12] K. Newman, D.E. Radford, The cofree irreducible Hopf algebra on an algebra, Amer. J. Math. 101 (1979) 1025–1045.
[13] W. Nichols, Bialgebras of type one, Comm. Algebra 15 (1978) 1521-1552.
[14] D. Quillen, Rational homotopy theory, Ann. Math. (2) 90 (1969) 205-295.
[15] D.E. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, J. Algebra 92 (1985) 322–347.
[16] M. Rosso, Quantum groups and quantum shuffles, Invent. Math. 133 (1998) 399-416.
[17] S. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), Commun. Math. Phys. 122 (1989) 125-170.
[18] S. Woronowicz: Solutions of the braid equation related to a Hopf algebra, Lett. Math. Phys. 23(1991) 143-145.

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, 135, Xingang Xi Road, 510275, Guangzhou, P. R. China

Current address: Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris Diderot (Paris 7), 175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013, Paris, France

E-mail address: `jian@math.jussieu.fr`

Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris Diderot (Paris 7), 175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013, Paris, France

E-mail address: `rosso@math.jussieu.fr`